		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	n/	10/611,944	BEECK ET AL.
	' y	Examiner	Art Unit
		Vishal Patel	3676
All Participants:		Status of Application: _	
(1) <u>Vishal_Patel</u> .		(3)	
(2) Adam Cermak (attorney).		(4)	
Date of Interview: 25 May 2005		Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Note of the provide a brief description:	• •	t's representative)	
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed:			
Claims discussed:			
Prior art documents discussed:			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE No proper response for the final office action mailed on 1		AL NATURE OF WHAT W	AS DISCUSSED:
Part III.			
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sep directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sep did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief separate 	on. The operate reco	examiner will provide a wri	itten summary of the substance ne interview, since the interview
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Ap	nlicant/A	nnlicant's Representative	Signature – if appropriate)
(//p	, p.1.04110/1	ppdiff of topicselliative	orginature – ir appropriate)